IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UN	IITED STATES OF AMERICA	0.0000.400
	Plaintiff,) 8:08CR109)
	vs.) DETENTION ORDER
JU	AN FLORES-RUIZ,	
	Defendant.	}
A.	Order For Detention After waiving a detention hearing pursuant Act on April 9, 2009 (Filing No. 12), the Odetained pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e) a	Court orders the above-named defendant
B.	conditions will reasonably assure the	because it finds: ence that no condition or combination of appearance of the defendant as required. It no condition or combination of conditions
C.	distribute methamphetam and the distribution of me U.S.C. § 841(a)(1) each of imprisonment and a max (b) The offense is a crime of (c) The offense involves a national control	and includes the following: e offense charged: y to distribute and possess with intent to hine (Count I) in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 846 thamphetamine (Count II) in violation of 21 carrying a minimum sentence of five years imum of forty years imprisonment. violence.
	may affect wheth The defendant h X The defendant h X The defendant h X The defendant is The defendant of ties. Past conduct of the defendant h Court proceeding	appears to have a mental condition which her the defendant will appear. Leas no family ties in the area. Leas no steady employment. Leas no substantial financial resources. It is not a long time resident of the community. Leas not have any significant community the defendant: Leas a history relating to drug abuse. Leas a history relating to alcohol abuse. Leas a significant prior criminal record. Leas a prior record of failure to appear at

DETENTION ORDER - Page	2
	Parole Release pending trial, sentence, appeal or completion of sentence. Factors: The defendant is an illegal alien and is subject to deportation. The defendant is a legal alien and will be subject to deportation if convicted. The Bureau of Immigration and Custom Enforcement (BICE) has placed a detainer with the U.S. Marshal. Other:
	and seriousness of the danger posed by the defendant's as follows: The nature of the charges in the Indictment.
on the follow which the Co X (a) That assur of any the cr X	ing that the defendant should be detained, the Court also relied ing rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e) ourt finds the defendant has not rebutted: no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably the the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety other person and the community because the Court finds that rime involves: (1) A crime of violence; or (2) An offense for which the maximum penalty is life imprisonment or death; or (3) A controlled substance violation which has a maximum penalty of 10 years or more; or (4) A felony after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior offenses described in (1) through (3) above, and the defendant has a prior conviction for one of the crimes mentioned in (1) through (3) above which is less than five years old and which was committed while the defendant was on pretrial release.
assur of the	no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably to the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety community because the Court finds that there is probable to believe:

D. Additional Directives

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)(2)-(4), the Court directs that:

1. The defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal; and

weapon or device).

violence, which provides for an enhanced punishment if committed by the use of a deadly or dangerous

DETENTION ORDER - Page 3

- 2. The defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel; and
- 3. That, on order of a court of the United States, or on request of an attorney for the government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

DATED: April 9, 2009. BY THE COURT:

s/Thomas D. Thalken United States Magistrate Judge